

Modern 'Commercial Law' is based on Ancient Babylonian Codes

A Historical Research Article Linking the "Slave-Trading Codes" of Ancient Babylon to the Modern Laws of "Commerce", "Equity", and Civil/Municipal Jurisdictions.

By Charles Bruce, Stewart

Meyer Amschel Rothschild said: "Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes its laws . . . enforced unemployment and hunger, imposed on the masses because of the power we have to create shortages of food, will create the RIGHT of Capital to rule more surely than it was given to the real aristocracy".

A new union between Babylonian religions, Babylonian banking and Babylonian law is returning the world to serfdom [-Ed].

When the Romans Conquered the Nation of Israel, shortly before the time of Jesus Christ or Yeshuah Messiah; they set-up a "Puppet Regime" in Israel, so-as-to more effectively Mask the harsh reality that the Israelites were a Conquered People. And because "Law" was frequently administered by a "Priesthood" in these ancient cultures, a group of priests known as "Pharisees" thereunder Combined with the Romans to Deceive, Confuse, Plunder, and En-Slave the common Israelite People. And because Christ/Messiah Jesus/Yeshuah was a Threat to that Oppressive/Despotic system; both factions of these over-lapping "special-interest-groups" Conspired to have Jesus/Yeshuah Nailed to the Cross/Stake. The Pharisees were Direct Participants in this Lawless Conspiracy to Murder this Innocent Man; even tho it seems that it was Roman Soldiers who actually completed the execution.

These same Pharisees base their entire Religious Belief-System upon a body of Laws which is Not from the Ancient Jewish/Israelite Laws, which reach back to the teachings of Moses. Rather these Pharisees look to a body of so-called "Laws" which derive from "Slave-Trading Codes" in Ancient Babylon; and quite probably reaching back to Nimrod himself.

The fact that the religion of the Pharisees has never been recorded as having become extinct, indicates that they and their Slave-Trading practices continue on to exist to this very day. In their own literature, the modern practitioners of "Rabbinical Judaism" Admit (quietly) that they are decedents from those ancient Pharisees. In their own book entitled "The Jewish Encyclopedia", and "prepared by more than Four Hundred Scholars and Specialists", and published by the "Funk and Wagnalls Company", in 1905, Page 665; the following text is presented:

"With the destruction of the Temple, the Sadducees disappeared all together, leaving the regulation of all Jewish affairs in the hands of the Pharisees.

Henceforth, Jewish life was regulated by the teachings of the Pharisees, the whole history of Judaism was re-constructed from the Pharisaic point of view, and a new aspect was given to the Sanhedrin of the past. A new chain of tradition supplanted the older, priestly tradition (Abot i. 1).

Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and thought of the Jew for all the future."

Here is shown clearly that the ancient Pharisees are still alive and well writing textbooks for Funk and Wagnals in 1905, and disseminating such beliefs among their followers.

The ancient counterparts of these modern Pharisees had schools in Babylon from a very early pre-Christian date. We may read concerning these matters from a scholarly work entitled "The Babylonian Talmud", by Sedner Nezikin, London; The Soncino Press, 1935. In the Foreword to this book is an introductory few pages by (The Very Rev. The Chief Rabbi) J.H. Hertz. Herein he speaks on pages 13, 14, 15 to say:

"The beginnings of Talmudic literature date back to the time of the Babylonian Exile in the sixth pre-Christian century . . . When a thousand years later, the Babylonian Talmud assumed final codified form in the year 500 of the Christian era, the Western Roman Empire had ceased to be. . . "

When we come to the Babylonian Gerama, we are dealing with what most people understand when they speak or write of the Talmud. It's birthplace, Babylonia, was a autonomous Jewish center for a longer period than any other land, namely, soon after 586 before the Christian era to the year 1040 after the Christian era—1,626 years . . . "

For a long time it was held that the language in which the Babylonian Talmud was written defied grammatical formulation. This is now seen to be nothing but prejudice. . . "

"The style of the Babylonian Talmud is one of most pregnant brevity and succinctness. It is at no time "easy reading". Elliptical expression is a constantly reoccurring feature, and whole sentences are often indicated by as single word. In discussions, question and answer are closely interwoven, and there is an entire absence of demarcation between them. Hard thinking and closest attention are required under the personal guidance of an experienced scholar, or of an elaborate written exposition of the argument, for the discussion to be followed or to be understood. And that understanding cannot be gained by the aid of Grammar or Lexicon alone. Even a student who has fair knowledge of Hebrew and Aramaic, but who has not been initiated into the Talmud by Traditional Jewish guides, will find it impossible to decipher a page!"

Here we have plain admissions from this J.H. Hertz (Chief Rabbi) wherein he reveals that the source of the material which is Codified within their "Talmud" is from "Babylon." Other sources affirm this also. This "Babylonian Talmud" is that root-source-repository of so-called "Law" from which the modern Talmud draws its historical roots. J.H. Hertz (Chief Rabbi) and others of the same belief are all quite

comfortable referring to it amongst themselves as the "Babylonian Talmud".

But as the ancient city of Babylon is clearly related to terms such as "Babble" aka: "Confusion", and as ancient Biblical texts indicate plainly that this is the source for all of the language confusion and much other problems which has spread throughout the entire world, it is understandable that these ones do seek to downplay the fact that "Babylon" is the spiritual source/center for Their body of "Spiritual-Law Codifications". We here-in merely seek clear labels of what is going on with regard to this body of codifications which seemingly so significantly affect our modern sociological structures. We have a Right to ask these questions. Everyone is affected by these concerns. And it seems clear that many who modernly claim to be "Jews" are following this set of Spiritual Codifications which have originated in ancient Babylon, and which thereunder are properly known and referable to as the "Babylonian Talmud".

We find similarly enlightening source material in a profoundly insightful work from a gentleman named Guy Carlton, Lee; of Johns Hopkins University in his work: *"Historical Jurisprudence"*, 1922; Pages 12, 17, 18, 38-40, 188-189:

The law of Babylonia has had an immense effect upon that of nearly all the countries of Europe . . . The literature of Babylon has perished; but the element of culture which has endured was greater than the literature. That element is law, an organized intelligible system of rights and duties enforced by the State. . . The great work of the nation was the production of a system of law, necessary to the extended commercial activity of the city . . . The complex Babylonian civilization, which produced a commercial law in advance of any other ancient system . . . was . . . the product of . . . its relations to the other countries of the world.

The exercise of judicial functions, at least in matters of commercial law, seems to have been in the hands of the hierarchy. The reasons for this may have been in part those which, in the mediaeval period of European history, threw the control of legal procedure largely into the hands of the ecclesiastics. In Babylon, the custom of documentary evidence in almost all transactions . . . and the wide extent to which written contracts were employed, made the notarial and judicial functions of the priests very extensive. But the part taken in business transactions by the priesthood was appropriate for another reason, which perhaps had more influence in the time of the early law, before the purely commercial side had been developed. This was the part which was connected with contractual oaths, which at first were numerous. The contracting parties were obliged in their contracts to swear by the principal god of the country, and by the reigning prince, that they would abide by the conditions of the contract . . ."

The Babylonian Law developed to the fullest extent the idea of a Contract. Almost any possible business transaction was reduced to the form of a contract and was executed with the same formalities—i.e., with witnesses, notary, and signature. Thus the points as to deeds, sales, mortgages, loans, and banking are in no respect different in form from the matter of hiring, rent and leases, partnership, testaments, and domestic relations, including adoption. Transactions so very different could be reduced to the same principle, or brought under the one head, only by a highly abstract conception of contract itself. From forms of contract . . . we pass to the

relations of master and servant, leases, and future delivery of goods.

Sub-section A. Master and Servant. . . a man might well make a contract with another whom he hired for a year, or whom he contracted to serve for a year. . . example . . . In connection with this contract, it should be noted that Ubarru was regarded as a free agent, hiring himself out. But since he enters into a relation to his master in which he is temporarily in the condition of a slave, he has a representative, or guardian . . .

. . . In the case of a slave the name of the slave's father is never given. The slave is not regarded or spoken of as a man, but as a thing, and is reckoned in the same way as cattle. The actual point of this contract is the transfer of the right to a man's services. Such a transaction is but a part of the whole Babylonian system, whereby every credit or right was passed from one to another by means of contracts. . .

The law was very strict as to the beginning and termination of these contracts. . . If the servant did not appear, he could be arrested and brought to his master, as he was his master's man. . .

This species of . . . slavery was of great importance and very customary in Old Babylon.

Babylon('s) . . . commercial customs . . . became . . . the commercial law of the whole known world. Of . . . these Rome was . . . possessed from the earliest period . . . "

Here-under, we see a number of significant items for our study. But at this point it seems best to re-visit Chapters 17 and 18 of the Book of *Revelation* in the Bible. Here in is Revealed that a particular Body of People are recognizable as a "Great Prostitute" who "Rules over the Kings of the Earth" through the use of "Deception", "Magic", and "Merchants". This "Great Prostitute" who uses Deceptive Magic is clearly labeled therein as "BABYLON".

The above work from Johns Hopkins University by Mr. Lee clearly recognizes that Babylon's Religious Priesthood is commonly recognizable as the source of all of the modern so called "Laws of Commerce". These "Laws of Commerce" are shown to be a specific body of Codes which authorize the Administration of the Compelling Force of the State in the En-Force-ment of Contracts, mostly for Payments of Debts. The ancient Babylonian Priests were involved because Contracts were deemed to be a form of "Oath" entered into by the contracting parties; and the approval of their Gods were invoked so as to more effectively legitimize/bamboozle the entire process in the minds and the consciences of the contracting parties and all public witnesses.

These Babylonian Religious Codes recognized the ability to buy and sell contracts between merchants in "Commerce". They sold and "bought . . . slaves and the souls of men" in the time of Christ, and before and after, just precisely as is recognized in Revelation 18: 11-13. Under this system of Babylonian Codes, contracted-debtor-people were Forcibly Compelled to perform the contract regardless of Conscionability, or who was the original contract-creditor. This Babylonian Religious Commercial-Code depended in large part on a deeper set of Slave-Trading Codes. And these all are still recognizable and very frequently enforced under what modern legal text-books refer to as: "Master-Servant Relationships".

Under the ancient Babylonian Religious Codes, "Slavery" is clearly facilitated. People were not recognized as People there-under, but were items in Commerce. The Slave could be arrested and assaulted by government officers for not showing up for work on time. The text-book says that "The slave is not regarded or spoken of as a man, but as a thing, and is reckoned in the same way as cattle". Not too long ago, in our American History, "Slavery" was a very Common Practice, both against the Black Race, and also against all other races, including Orientals and the economically disenfranchised Caucasian/White Race. The Problem of "Oppression" is Not a Race Problem, it is a Economic-Class and Religious Problem.

In the above quoted text, and with reference to these ancient Babylonian-based "Slave-Trading" Codes; Mr Lee goes on to make it clear they were passed down to the Roman Slave-Traders in his statement "Of . . . these Rome was . . . possessed from the earliest period . . .".

History seems to tell that many Israelites had been Captured into Slavery in Babylon. Yet by the time of Jesus/Yeshuah, many had returned. During that Captivity; many of the Babylonian Commercial/Slave-Trader/Merchant Codes seemed to have Infected Israelite-Society. It does not take a lot of intelligence to suppose that this worked to Under-Mine the Godly Principles of the more ancient Israelite Torah-Laws.

Yet the "Pharisees" Openly Embraced this Babylonian System of Slave-Trading Codes. Christ/Messiah Jesus/Yeshuah and his followers were Crucified for speaking-out boldly against these Evils of the Romans and their False-Israelite Pharisee Puppet-drones. As revealed above, the Romans were already followers of those same Babylonian Slave-Trading Codes. This indicates that the Connection between the Roman Slave-Traders and the Pharisees was more than a mere coincidence. This indicates that at some point in the even more ancient history; the Roman Slave-Traders and the Pharisee of Israel; were of Common Cultural Ancestry.

As the name signifies, the "Babylonian Talmud" contains much of the Commercial Slave-Trading Mercantile Codifications of "Master-Servant Relationships" which originated in Babylon. Those of the "Pharisee" (Rabbinical-Judaism) belief-system, refer in their "Babylonian Talmud" to those who are Not of their culture; as "Goim" or "Gois". These words translate to mean "Human Cattle". This is precisely how historical textbooks indicate that the ancient Babylonians referred to their Slaves. As Mr Lee stated in his above quoted text, **"The slave is . . . reckoned in the same way as cattle"**.

Though the "Babylonian Talmud" seems to contain few direct citations to Slavery itself, it does set forth a significant amount of evidence showing that Non-Pharisees "Goim" are to be treated with all of the contempt of "Slaves". Citations from the "Babylonian Talmud" read:

"All things pertaining to the Goim are like desert, the first person to come along and take them can claim them for his own." Babha Bathra 45. "It is permitted to deceive a goi." Babha Kama 113b.

And though citations are not clear that this is directly from the Talmud, other Pharisaical Literature states:

"The Life of a Goi and all his physical powers belong to a Jew." A. Rohl. Die

Polem. P.20

And articles published by Henry Ford's newspaper, the *Dearborn Independent* in 1920 - 1922 discuss the "Kol Nidre" as a Pharisaical:

"prayer, named from its opening words, "All vows", " (kol nidre). It is based on the declaration of the Talmud: "He who wishes that his vows and oaths shall have no value, stand up at the beginning of the year and say: 'All vows which I shall make during the year shall be of no value.'"

The list goes on and on. The Historical Documentation is Massive of the Malicious Plunder-Oriented Slave-Trading Behavior of these people. Coke and others have defined them as "Infidels," precisely Because History has clearly Shown that the Sworn "Oath" of those ones Means Nothing to them. They cannot be "Bound" by "Conscience", and they habitually "Lie" at every turn which may serve their own self-serving interests or those of their "Synagogue of Satan", as referred to by Christ Jesus at Revelation 2:9 and 3:9. This is the nature of their "Law." They believe they have a God-Given Right to be "Slave-Masters." They can-not be expected to be bound to tell the Truth. They feel that they are En-Titled by the Authority of their Evil God to Lie to others, so-as-to Control Them and there-by to reduce them to their unsuspecting Slaves.

Such "Infidels" were [Expelled](#) from almost every country in Europe between the 13th and 15th centuries for this precise Reason. Their tenacious adherence to this Dis-Honest Babylonian Master-Slave system of Human Conduct Codes, and their relentless Lying and Deception was the Direct Cause of their Ostracism from All of these European Nations.

The Sadducees apparently gave the Pharisees opposition against this corrupting influence, but their days were numbered, for after the destruction of the Temple by Rome in AD70, the religious belief system of the Sadducees had apparently met genocide and extinction. The Pharisees (on the other hand) were conspicuously left in the position of authority over all Jews who did not profess Christianity. The ancient Religion of the Pharisees has been in Continuous Total Control of what is fashionably recognized as the "Jewish Religion", ever since the destruction of Solomon's Temple. Again quoted, but more focused and brief than above, it reads:

"With the destruction of there Temple . . . Henceforth, Jewish life was regulated by the teachings of the Pharisees . . . Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and thought of the Jew for all the future." *The Jewish Encyclopedia: (1905; Page 665)*

With the extinction of the Sadducees, the only Jewish entity which thereafter stood in opposition to the Pharisees and their Babylonian Codified Talmud were the Christians. The powers in Rome itself were Compromised by this influence. As revealed else-where here-in, the Religion of the Pharisees continues to this day under their self-proclaimed banner of "Judaism" or "Jews". These people are Not True "Jews". Nor are they Racial "Semites", or "Israelites", or even "Zionists". Rather they are of the "Synagogue of Satan", and they merely "Say they are Jews"; all precisely as Jesus/Yeshuah is declared to have clearly started in Revelation 2:9 and 3:9.

These modern Pharisees merely proclaim they are "Jews"out of a Strategy to effect

their Ancient Plunder-Oriented Slave-Trading purpose. Approximately 85% of them are not even of the "Semitic" bloodline, but rather are of the Ashkenazi/Khazar Race/Bloodline. They seem to have adopted the Pharisaical-Babylonian Talmudian Religion in about the year 740 or so, out of convenience. They know they are Not True Semitic/Israelites; yet they scream "Anti-Semite" with spine-chilling fervor when-ever anyone seeks to hold them to accountability for their crimes.

Multitudes of such Honorable Americans as Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, and Henry Ford; have all Documented their Culturally Evil-Agenda in great detail.

Modern "Rabbinical Judaism" is the Religious Descendant of those very same Pharisees, and they all look to the same "Babylonian Talmud" Code of "Master/Slave Laws" as the Primary Written "Code of Conduct" for their lives. The preponderance of Ashkenazi/Khazars who serve this modern dso-called "Religion" of "Rabbinical Judaism" (with its talmudian codifications designed to support slave-trading), all indicate to this author that the underlying Conflict between the True Nation of Israel (aka: the "True "Jews" (Revelation 2:9 and 3:9), and these Ashkenazi/Khazars, reaches back way Further than their professed "Conversion to Judaism" in the year 740-ad or so.

I am inclined to believe they are "Canaanites", in large part from the very obviously similar phonetics of their names. I also tend to believe this proposition because of the historically documented Evils of the Canaanite peoples. And I think those likely reach-back even further to "Cain"; the legendary son of "Adam", who allegedly first slew his brother "Abel".

. . . I feel it is undeniable that these very powerfully-intense forms of Evil still walk this earth, with every bit of acidic-venom of those other very ancient, evil, and suspiciously similarly-named cultures. [Overwhelmingly supported by such anthropologists as Ripley, Weissenberg, Hertz, Boas, Mead and Fishberg, Arthur Koestler's [The Thirteenth Tribe](#) proves the vast majority of today's Jews are descendants of the Khazars of South Russia as do the Scripture, encyclopaedias, and scores of historians. - Ed.]

"Civil-Law" and "Municipal-Law" and Their History

Textbooks show plainly that Rome is the source of English and American "Civil Law". Civil Law is recognized in *Black's Law Dictionary* as synonymous with "Municipal Law"; as follows:

Civil Law: That body of law which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature", and from international law. Laws concerned with civil or private rights and remedies, as contrasted with criminal laws.

Rome is well recognizable as an Aggressively Warring and Conquering nation. A reputable Textbook of History entitled "*Apollo, History of Rome*" by Cyril E. Robinson (1956), on pages 26 and 27 reads:

"Many factors contributed to (Rome's) success; but more important than her military powers, were the political methods where by she contrived to . . . conquer. . . In 381, after overcoming the . . . town of Tusculm, she . . .

admitted it to terms . . . (under which it was) compelled to pay the war-tax, and . . . a town thus treated was known as a *muni-cipum* or "burden-holder".

Many of the peoples conquered by Rome were reduced to Slaves. No reputable historian contests this fact. *Webster's New International Dictionary* (1950, 2nd Edition) links the above term "*Municipum*" to our modern term "Municipal"; as follows:

Municipal: . . . *munia* official duties + root *capere* to take. . . . Rom. Hist. Of or pertaining to, or of the nature of, a *municipum*.

It further goes on to make the following most note-worthy statement:

Municipal District: A subdivision of a region inhabited chiefly by non-Christians.

The Roman merchants engaged in much Slave Trade. The establishment of "Slave-Markets" was one of their top priorities. Contracts Exchanged hands among Merchants for the Delivery of Slaves. The Codes which Governed these Contracts for Slaves was based on the Same Codes which the Babylonians had developed. **"Of . . . these Rome was . . . possessed from the earliest period . . . ". (*"Historical Jurisprudence"* - Lee, above).**

Such Babylonian Master/Slave so-called "Law" is modernly still recognizable under either term of "Civil" or "Municipal" Law. [Rome is the Seven-Headed "Beast" described in Revelation. The "Great Prostitute" there-in described as sitting on or controlling the "Seven-Headed Beast" of the Roman Empire which has gathered within itself all the power and evil of the previous three Gentile world powers, is the Roman Catholic church. - Ed.] This Beast was in existence at that time of Christ as the Civil/Military Government of Rome. . .

The Norman Conquest of England in 1066-ad

The Norman Conquest over the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic People of England in 1066 is vastly under-rated in its significance for understanding modern models of good government. From Rome, the "Norman Invasion" had the "Solemn Blessings of the Pope". This is shown in many citations, among which is the following:

"William had some difficulty in securing the help of his barons for his proposed invasion of England; it was necessary to convince them individually by threats and persuasions. Otherwise conditions were favorable. William secured the benevolent neutrality of the emperor Henry IV., and the expedition had the solemn approval of Pope Alexander II." *Encyclopedia Britannica*, Vol # 23, p.609; William 1: . . . Conquest of England . . .

The Pharisaical system of "Commercial Law," as codified in the "*Babylonian Talmud*"; was at that time Forcibly Imposed by the Romanistic-Normans over the previously Non-Romanized Christian/Common-Law Saxon-Anglo/English People. This is shown as follows:

"The Jews, whom the Normans brought to England . . . [or who financed and

followed the invasion - Ed.] brought a refined system of commercial law: their own form of commerce and a system of rules to facilitate and govern it. . . Several elements of historical Jewish legal practice have been integrated into the English legal system. Notable among these is the written credit agreement—shetar, or starr, as it appears in English documents. The basis of the shetar, or "Jewish Gage," was a lien on all property (including realty) that has been traced as a source of the modern mortgage. Under Jewish law, the shetar permitted a creditor to proceed against all the goods and land of the defaulting debtor. . . Jewish law that debts could be recovered against a loan secured by "all property, movable and immovable" was a weapon of socio-economic change that tore the fabric of feudal society and established the power of liquid wealth in place of land holding. . . Jewish Law, wherein personal debt superseded rights in real property had become the law of the land." "Footnote 11: H.C. Richardson, *The English Jewry Under Angevin Kings* 94 (1960) (Jews liquidation of land obligations broke down rigidity of feudal land tenure and facilitated transfer of land to new capitalist class). Footnote 15: CF. 1 F. Pollock and F.W. Maitland, *supra* note 3 at 469... (alien to English law for creditor not in possession of land to have rights in it)."

"The Shetar's Effect on English Law", *The Georgetown Law Journal*; V. 71, P 1179 - 1200); Judith A. Shapiro.

Here-under; the Pharisees worked with the Normans under the "Blessing of the Pope" of Rome. Here-under, Roman Civil/Municipal Codes were Forcibly Imposed over the Non-Romanized Christian/Common-Law People of England. As this Pharisee/Roman-Catholic Military Machine was Forcibly-Imposed, the above text makes clear (seemingly happily) that a "Weapon of Socio-Economic Change that Tore the Fabric" of the Society of the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Peoples. These ruthlessly shocking words are not composed by this author; but they are the words chosen by Ms. Shapirro as they appear in her *Georgetown Law Journal* article. It seems that the aggressively warring nature of that Babylonian-Talmudian based Code of Pharisaical Conduct is not in question among that circle of scholars. It seems to clearly be a body of Master's Slave-Trading Codes, which are designed to "Tear at the Fabric" of any Society which it targets.

[The invading Jews established the Exchequer - Ed.].

The "Shetar" is a corruption of the word "Star", and it refers to the infamously abusive "Star Chamber Courts". This is shown in the following citations:

"The name star chamber . . . has been thought to be . . . because the roof was originally studded with stars, because the Jewish covenants (called starrs or stars . . .) were originally kept there." *Bovier's Law Dictionary*; 1860.

"Starr or starra. The old term for contract or obligation among the Jews, being a corruption from the Hebrew word "shetar," a covenant, . . . and Blackstone conjectures that the room in which the chests were kept was thence called the "Star-Chamber"."

"Star Chamber: A court which originally had jurisdiction in cases where the

ordinary course of justice was so much obstructed by one party, . . . that no inferior court would find its process obeyed. . . In the reign of Henry the 8th, and his successors, the jurisdiction of the court was illegally extended to such a degree (especially in punishing the kings arbitrary proclamations) that it became odious to the nation, and was abolished." Blacks Law Dictionary, 5th Edition:

These courts of Pharisaical Commercial Master/Slave Codes became so heinous for their "Secret Proceedings" and for their infliction of "Cruel and Unusual Punishments," that they were abolished. They are the essence of our modern Anglo/American so-called "Equity" Jurisdiction. It was all run by "Chancery Priests," and they were referred to deceptively as "Courts of Equity". The only thing "Equal" about them, is that all Conquered "Slaves" thereunder were treated as "Equal-Slaves." "Courts of Chancery" is the more honest name which they also frequently used, because a great "Chance" was being taken if a man were forced to go before them. The linkage between "Equity Jurisdiction" and Romanistic "Civil/Municipal Law," is shown in the following:

"The whole of equity jurisprudence prevailing in England and the United States is mainly based on the civil law." Boviers Law Dictionary; 1868.

"Civil Law" is from Rome. There was no "Equity Jurisprudence" in England prior to the Norman Conquest. The Norman Conquest had the "solemn approval of the Pope" of Rome according to the above-quoted *Encyclopedia Britannica*, and many other sources.

The obvious Conclusion is that the Norman's "War of Aggression" was jointly backed by the Pharisees and the Pope of Rome, all so as to Forcibly Impose the Roman Civil/Municipal Codes of Babylonian-Talmudian based Master/Slave relations. These were mere Tools for Slave Control which were early Imposed by Evil Men with great influence within the Pharisaical and Roman-Catholic religious communities. All Conscience-Bound People will Recognize that No True Spirituality could Possibly have been brought into England at that time. The "Forces of Evil" Were In "Full Control" during the so-called "Norman Conquest." As at the Crusades and the Inquisition. The religious forces consistently behind these movements have a very consistently-evil track-record.

Evil men Aggressively made Religious War against the Christian/Common-Law—Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Peoples of England in 1066. The "Babylonian-Talmud" was completed well before the Norman Conquest of 1066. It's all the same basic Master/Slave Commerce form of Code of Human Conduct. It all treats living/breathing People as "Merchandise" in Commerce to be bought and sold as those "Slaves and the Souls of Men" as referred to in Revelation 18:13. This entire body of Codified Human-Conduct is all so amorally lacking in fidelity to the Supreme Laws of "Love of Neighbor" from YHVH, as taught by His Son Yeshuah; as to be clearly a policy of the "Synagogue of Satan" as referred to at Revelation 2:9 and 3:9.

It is easy to summarize that this is that precise same Code of Human Conduct of which the Pharisee "Money-Changers" were using to corrupt the Temple in Jerusalem, and of which Christ/Messiah Jesus/Yeshuah over-turned their tables and drove them out of His Father's House with the whip. It is easy to summarize that this is the Code of Conduct upon which the Pharisees moved to whipp-up the mob into such a fervent state of Anarchy as to abort "Due Process of Law" and to have Yeshua

the Christ/Mesiah Lawlessly Nailed to the Cross/Stake.

Modern Applications of Ancient Babylonian Slave-Trading Codes:

This Code of Conduct embodied within the "*Babylonian Talmud*" is very large, but it contains specific portions which are designed to "Tear at the Fabric" of the society which is its target. These are the words of Ms. Shapirro, as set forth in the *Georgetown Law Journal*. This is not the wording of "Anti-Semitic Right Wing Extremists." Ms Shapiro's term "Tear" is specifically used to denote that process which obliterated the "Fabric of . . . Society," as it had existed prior to that War of Aggression. It would seem Reasonable to conclude that this is a "Code of Human Conduct" based on "Terrorism." Certainly the word "Tear" seems related to "Terrorism." Certainly the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Christian People were greatly Fear Inspired by the forcible imposition of this "Babylonian Talmud" based Code of Human Conduct. The wording of Ms. Shapirro Reasonably seems to be an acknowledgment that "Terrorism" was used by the Normans and the Pharisees "who call themselves Jews" as a "matter of Policy" under that Code of Human Conduct; all known as the "Babylonian Talmud".

This entire body of Roman "Civil-Law" is Designed to Centralize the "Decision-Making Authority" of the Entire Community in-to the Hands of a "Single Arbitrator." This is How All "Contracts" were En-Forced in the "Court of Equity." It was early incorporated into what was known as English "Law Merchant," which many fine scholars have confused as being a true part of the English "Common-Law." Such happened only after the corrupting influence of the "Norman Conquest".

Contracts are only enforceable in Courts of so-called "Equity." "Equity" jurisdiction was allowed to enter into American Jurisprudence by way of Article 3 Section 2-1 of the so-called "U.S. Constitution". Such was a slap in the face of Christ/Messiah Jesus/Yeshuah, and much Evil has worked its purpose in this land by way of that compromise of Godly Principles.

How-ever, in the USA at the Federal Level and most (probably all) State Levels; there are Protections in place against Summary Equity/Chancery Slave-Trader Jurisdictions being imposed over American People. These Protections are available through Constitutional, Statutory, and Case-Law Precedent Provisions. A full explanation of these protections is not presented here; but in another study/document by this same author which is centered around the so-called "US Supreme Court"'s Case-Law Precedent of "*Beacon Theaters v Westover*".

Here-by; the "Equity" Jurisdiction is Purged from All of its Lawful Authority to adjudicate anything; If a proper "Due Process of Law" is invoked. By presenting a "Counter-Complaint," which there-by super-cedes and over-rides what is there-by recognized as the constitutionally antagonistic "Equity" Jurisdiction; this modern essence of "Law" allows Americans to Free Them-Selves from the Babylonian Master/Slave-Traders Jurisdiction of so-called "Equity". babelaw.htm